Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.:__13353R

MEPA Analyst: William Gage

Phone: 617-626-__617-626-1025

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:		
Project Name: Westdale Road Relocation		
Street: Off End of Dalton Road to Power Line		
Municipality: Holbrook		
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Watershed: Cochato River Latitude:	
Offiversal frantierse Mercalor Coordinates.	Longitude:	
Estimated commencement date: June 2005		
Approximate cost: \$650,000.00	Status of project design: 100 %complete	
Proponent: Sprague Realty Trust	Grands of project design. Too acomplete	
Street: 50 Sprague Street	,	
Municipality: Hyde Park	State: MA Zip Code: 02136	
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Matthew Watsky, Esq.		
Firm/Agency: Matthew Watsky, Attorney at	Street: 30 Eastbrook Road, Suite 301	
Law	owot. To Edobrook Road, Oako 507	
Municipality: Dedham	State: MA Zip Code: 02026	
	I 461-9068 E-mail: Watsky@att.net	
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? X No		
Has this project been filed with MEPA before? X Yes (EOEA No13353) No		
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	before? ⁄es (EOEA No) X No	
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) reques a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	esting:	
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): none		
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? XYes(SpecifyDEP Water Quality Certificate) No		
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Planning Board subdivision approval; Conservation		

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Planning Board subdivision approval; Conservation commission approval under Wetlands Protection Act. Project has Planning Board definitive subdivision approval; Conservation Commission Order of Conditions; Local DPW approval for sewer and water connections; and Army Corps of Engineers determination of Category II PGP approval; DEP approval on

WQC is the last remaining state approval required. We are awaiting Planning Board approval of the modification to the subdivision approval Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03): Land Rare Species X Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands Water Wastewater Transportation Energy Air Solid & Hazardous Waste ACEC Regulations Historical & Archaeological Resources **Summary of Project Size** Existing Change Total State Permits & & Environmental Impacts **Approvals** LAND Order of Conditions Superseding Order of Total site acreage 39 Conditions New acres of land altered 5.5 Chapter 91 License ☑ 401 Water Quality Acres of impervious area 0 1.71 1.71 Certification Square feet of new bordering 11,359 ☐ MHD or MDC Access vegetated wetlands alteration Permit Square feet of new other ☐ Water Management 0 wetland alteration Act Permit New Source Approval Acres of new non-water 0 dependent use of tidelands or X DEP or MWRA waterways Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit **STRUCTURES** Other Permits Gross square footage 17,280 17.280 (including Legislative Approvals) - Specify: Number of housing units 12 12 Maximum height (in feet) 35 35 **TRANSPORTATION** Vehicle trips per day 48 48 Parking spaces 48 WATER/WASTEWATER Gallons/day (GPD) of water use 5280 5,280 GPD water withdrawal 0 GPD wastewater generation/ 0 5.280 5,280 treatment Length of water/sewer mains 0 Water Main Water Main 1,330 I ft.; 1,330 I ft.; (in miles) Sewer main Sewer main 970 I ft.T 970 I ft.T CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? ☐Yes (Specify No. Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation

restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?

☐Yes (Specify) ☑ No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? Yes (Specify The site contains a recently reported Vernal Pool. The Conservation Commission identified and reported the pool, and has approved the project.
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? [] Yes (Specify
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern? [Yes (Specify) XNo

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include **(a)** a description of the project site, **(b)** a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and **(c)** potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

This is the same project as previously reviewed by MEPA in the ENF for EOEA#13353. The applicant withdrew that ENF due to DEP's having filed comments noting that the project did not comply with the requirements for a Water Quality Certification. The design of the storm water management facilities has been modified to address DEP's concerns, and via a letter dated February 10, 2005, DEP has removed its notice of technical deficiency. Completion of MEPA review is now the only impediment to the Project receiving the final permit necessary to proceed with construction. As noted in the ENF #13353, this project is a modification of a subdivision road previously approved by the Holbrook Planning Board and the Conservation Commission over ten years ago. That previously approved design, which had received all required permits and approvals (including a Superseding Determination from DEP for the wetlands boundary), included limited fill of bordering vegetated wetlands and replication that were below MEPA thresholds. Subsequent to those approvals, while disputes with the Planning Board were being resolved, the Conservation Commission denied an extension of the earlier Order of Conditions. In the intervening time, the boundary of the regulated wetlands changed, the applicant believes due to a change in hydrology caused by the Town having constructed a sewer line through the wetlands on the project premises and along the upland edge of that wetlands. With the new boundary, the Commission required a new filing for construction of the road. With the new wetlands boundary having shifted the wetlands up gradient, the previously approved plan would result in far greater impacts to the presently delineated wetlands. The present design of the road has shifted construction upgradient as compared to the earlier approved design, to avoid the new areas of wetlands to the greatest extent practical. There is no alternative means of connecting the road other than going through this limited area of wetlands, and the Planning Board has required this connection.

The project was proposed to and approved by the Holbrook Conservation Commission as a limited access roadway crossing, pursuant to 310 CMR 10.53(3)(e). In preparation for that filing, to meet the requirement to show all reasonable alternatives have been considered, the Applicant met on numerous occasions with the Planning Board to evaluate alternatives. The current, proposed plan is the result of efforts to shift the roadway as far as practicable away from the wetlands and to narrow the actual constructed width of the roadway. Indeed – all of the wetlands impacts that would have resulted from

the earlier design would be eliminated by this design. The Planning Board has accepted modifications in the alignment of the road, including reverse curves, which make possible the maximum amount of alignment shift and reduction of wetlands impact to the new wetlands. The Planning Board has agreed to reduce the width by eliminating the required construction a sidewalk on one side of the road, but for public safety has required construction of a sidewalk on the other side.

Construction of the entire road is a mandated requirement of the Planning Board, which has actually required placement of a bond in the full amount for full construction as a condition of approval of a phased construction of a segment of the road. Stormwater management is being handled consistent with the current requirements of the Stormwater Management Guidelines, including deep sump catch basins and water quality swales. There is a current and valid 401 Water Quality Certification that was granted for the subdivision, which will be modified to for the design changes.

Although not required in the wetlands regulations, to mitigate the impacts, 12,590 sq ft of wetlands will be created to mitigate for the loss of 11,359 sq ft of vegetated wetlands. The area of replicated wetlands will be supplemented with an additional 2,090 sq ft of vegetated wetlands provided in the water quality pocket wetlands.